P.B.5818 - Patentlaan 2 2280 HV Rijswijk (ZH)	Patentamt	Patent Office des brevets
+31 70 340 2040 TX 31651 epo nl FAX +31 70 340 3016	Zweigstelle in Den Haag Recherchen- abteilung	Branch at Département à The Hague La Haye Search Division de la
Richardt, Markus Albert Quermann & Richardt Patentanwälte Unter den Eichen 7 D-65195 Wiesbaden ALLEMAGNE	PA Quermann & Richardt  1 8. Sep. 2003	Eingarig bei ZPL 24. NOV 2005 Term. Bearb.
	Termin:	Datum/Date 18.09.03
Zeichen/Ref./Ref. alca.202.16 EP		nde n° /Patent Nr ./Patent No /Brevet n°. 1 . 3-1246-
Anmelder/Applicant/Demandeur/Patentinhaber/Propriet	or/Titulaire	

## COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above-mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed as well.

The following specifications given by the applicant have been approved by the Search Division:

ALCATEL

☐ title

The abstract was modified by the Search Division and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract:

1

## **REFUND OF THE SEARCH FEE**

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.



## **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

**Application Number** EP 03 29 0854

Category	Citation of document with of relevant pas	indication, where appropriate,	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF TH APPLICATION (IntCI.7)	
X Y	* column 5, line 40 * column 6, line 3	2002-09-04)	3,4 * *	H04B7/005 H04Q7/38	
X Y	18 July 2002 (2002- * abstract *	- page 5, line 19 * line 11 * - line 27 * - line 17 *	1,2,5-10 3,4		
	EP 1 061 680 A (CIT 20 December 2000 (2 * page 2, line 12 - * page 2, line 34 - * page 3, line 4 - * page 3, line 48 - * figure 2 *	2000-12-20) - line 19 * - line 52 * line 6 *	1,4-10	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7 H04B H04Q	
	WO 01 48929 A (NOKI;SHURVINTON WILLIAM 5 July 2001 (2001-0 * abstract * * page 4, line 1 - * page 7, line 15 -	(GB))  7-05)     page 6, line 3 *	3,4		
	The present search report has	·			
	Place of search THE HAGUE	Date of completion of the search 2 September 20	•	Examiner PZ Márquez, T	
X : partic Y : partic docui A : techn	TEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with anot ment of the same category nological background written disclosure	T : theory or pr E : earlier pate after the filin her D : document of L : document of	rinciple underlying the ir	vention hed on, or	

## **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

**Application Number** EP 03 29 0854

Category	Citation of document with indication, v	where appropriate,	Relevant	CLASSIFICATION OF TH	
	of relevant passages		to claim	APPLICATION (Int.CI.7)	
Α	QIU X ET AL: "Some perfo for the downlink shared c ICC 2002. 2002 IEEE INTER CONFERENCE ON COMMUNICATI PROCEEDINGS. NEW YORK, NY 2, 2002, IEEE INTERNATION COMMUNICATIONS, NEW YORK, vol. 1 OF 5, 28 April 20 pages 376-380, XP01058952 ISBN: 0-7803-7400-2 * page 376, left-hand column, parages	hannel in WCDMA" NATIONAL ONS. CONFERENCE , APRIL 28 - MAY AL CONFERENCE ON NY: IEEE, US, 02 (2002-04-28), 0	1,8-10		
A	NAOR Z ET AL: "Announced probability protocol for wireless networks" COMPUTER NETWORKS, ELSEVIE PUBLISHERS B.V., AMSTERDAN vol. 41, no. 4,	next generation ER SCIENCE	1,8-10		
	15 March 2003 (2003-03-15) XP004404985 ISSN: 1389-1286	), pages 527-544,		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7	
	* abstract * * page 529, left-hand column, parage	umn, paragraph 2 graph 1 *			
	The present search report has been drawn				
•		Date of completion of the search  2 September 2003			
X : partic Y : partic docur	CULARLY OF CITED DOCUMENTS  CULARLY relevant if taken alone  CULARLY relevant if combined with another  ment of the same category  tological background	T: theory or principle u E: earlier patent docum after the filing date D: document cited in th L: document cited for o	nderlying the inv nent, but publish ne application other reasons	ention	

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

02-09-2003

	Patent document cited in search report		<b>a</b> :	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Pi	ublication date
EP	1237296	A		04-09-2002	JP CN EP US	2002261687 A 1373619 A 1237296 A2 2002119798 A3	09-10 2 04-09	9-2002 9-2002 9-2002 8-2002
WO	02056498	Α		18-07-2002	US WO	2002094833 A1 02056498 A2		7-2002 7-2002
EP	1061680	A		20-12-2000	EP CN DE DE ES JP	1061680 A1 1278698 A 69900636 D1 69900636 T2 2167990 T3 2001036503 A	03-01 1 31-01 2 12-09	-2002 -2002 -2002
WO	0148929	A		05-07-2001	GB AU WO EP US	2357647 A 2169701 A 0148929 A2 1250767 A2 2003058811 A1	23-10	-2001 -2001 -2002



Anmelde-Nr.:

Demande no:

Application No.: 03 290 854.3

This application is covered by the extended European search report pilot project at present running within the European Patent Office, applied to all European patent applications filed as first filing and searched on or after 01.07.03. Under this project the EPO issues together with the search report an opinion on whether the application and the invention to which it relates meet the requirements of the EPC. This non-binding opinion is issued free of charge as a service. This opinion may be used as the basis for an informed decision as to whether it is desired to pursue the application further or not.

For further details of this pilot project, the applicant's attention is directed to the Official Journal edition 5/2003. If any further immediate questions or comments arise the EPO Customer Services: +31-70-340 4500 or +49-89-2399 2828 can be contacted.

The attached opinion reveals that the application or the invention to which it relates appear not to meet the requirements of the Convention (see comments on enclosed Form 2906).

If the applicant wishes to continue with this application the examination fee must be paid. Where appropriate amendments can be filed to address the objections raised in the opinion. thus shortening the overall procedure. If no amendments are filed, the opinion will be re-issued as the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC.

If the examination fee has already been paid and the right to the communication under Article 96(1) EPC has been waived for this application, the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC will be issued promptly.

Blatt Sheet Feuille

1

Demande nº.

Application No.: 03 290 854.3

The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: EP-A-1 237 296 (NIPPON ELECTRIC CO) 4 September 2002 (2002-09-04)

D2: WO 02 056498 A (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M) 18 July 2002 (2002-07-18)

D3: WO 01 48929 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ;SHURVINTON WILLIAM (GB)) 5 July 2001 (2001-07-05)

- The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 52(1) EPC. 1.1 because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 54(1) and (2) EPC.
- 1.2 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a method for transmitting data over first and second channels, the first channels having a predefined grade of service and the second channels having a variable grade of service, the method comprising the steps of: determining a load of a transmit power amplifier; determining a share of the load which is due to the transmission over the first channels; determining a difference between a maximum load and the share; and controlling the transmission over the second channels on the basis of the difference (abstract; column 2, lines 37 to 55; column 3, line 35 to column 4, line 25; column 5, line 40 to column 6, line 2; column 6, line 33 to column 7, line 23; column 7, line 54 to column 8, line 15; figures 3,4,5 and 6).
- 1.3 The subject-matter of independent claim 1, the corresponding computer program product claim 8, base station claim 9 and wireless cellular telecommunication network claim 10 is therefore not new (Article 54(1) and (2) EPC).
- 1.4 The subject-matter of independent claims 1, 8, 9 and 10 is also anticipated by document D2 (abstract; page 4, line 24 to page 5, line 19; page 9, lines 8 to 11; page 10, lines 19 to 27; page 11, lines 7 to 17; page 12, lines 2 to 13; figures 1 to 3).
- Dependent claims 2, 5, 6 and 7 are not new: for claim 2, see for example D1, column 5, line 40 to column 6, line 2; for claim 5, D1: column 3, line 35 to column 4, line 25; column 5, line 40 to column 6, line 2; column 6, line 33 to column 7, line 23;



Communication/Minutes (Annex)

Notification/Procès-verbal (Annexe)

Blatt Sheet Feuille

2

Application No.: 03 290 854.3

for claims 6 and 7, see for example D2, page 5, lines 20 to 24 and claim 41.

- Claim 3 is not clear according to Article 84 EPC. It is not clear what the term 'moving average' means, as no definition of it has been found in the description.
- 2.3 However, as far as claim 3 is understood, the subject-matter of claims 3 and 4 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 56 EPC. The features of dependent claims 3 and 4 have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar method for controlling the transmission over different channels, see document D3, abstract; page 4, line 1 to page 6, line 3 and page 7, lines 15 to 22. D3 discloses a multi-carrier power amplifier that can be used in a base station to maintain the total power of all the transmitted signals below a safety margin on the basis of average load. It would therefore be obvious to the person skilled in the art, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a method according to document D1, thus arriving at a method according to claims 3 and 4.
- It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for 3. a new, allowable claim. Should the applicant nevertheless regard some particular matter as patentable, an independent claim should be filed taking account of Rule 29(1) EPC. The applicant should also indicate in the letter of reply the difference of the subject-matter of the new claim vis-à-vis the state of the art and the significance thereof.